more than one year after sentence was imposed, when the defendant's substantial assistance involved information known to the defendant within one year after sentencing, but no motion was filed because the significance or usefulness of the information was not apparent until after the one-year period had elapsed. Another version of Rule 35, which does not include this amendment, is being published simultaneously in a separate pamphlet.

Rule 41. Search and Scizure

(a) Autl	nority to Issue Warrant. Upon the request of a
feder	ral law enforcement officer or an attorney for the
gove	rnment, a scarch warrant authorized by this rule may
be is	sued (1) by a federal magistrate judge, or a state
cour	t of record within the federal district, for a search of
prop	erty or for a person within the district and (2) by a
feder	ral magistrate judge for a search of property or for a
perso	on either within or outside the district if the property
or pe	erson is within the district when the warrant is sought
but n	night move outside the district before the warrant is
exec	uted.

(b) Property or Persons Which May be Scized With a
Warrant. A warrant may be issued under this rule to
search for and seize any (1) property that constitutes
evidence of the commission of a criminal offense; or (2)
contraband, the fruits of crime, or things otherwise
eriminally possessed; or (3) property designed or intended
for use or which is or has been used as the means of
committing a criminal offense; or (4) person for whose
arrest there is probable cause, or who is unlawfully
restrained:

(c) Issuance and Contents.

(1) Warrant Upon Affidavit. A warrant other than a
warrant upon oral testimony under paragraph (2) of
this subdivision shall issue only on an affidavit or
affidavits sworn to before the federal magistrate
judge or state judge and establishing the grounds for

issuing the warrant. If the federal magistrate judge or
state judge is satisfied that grounds for the
application exist or that there is probable cause to
believe that they exist, that magistrate judge or state
judge shall issue a warrant identifying the property or
person to be seized and naming or describing the
person or place to be searched. The finding of
probable cause may be based upon hearsay evidence
in whole or in part. Before ruling on a request for a
warrant the federal magistrate judge or state judge
may require the affiant to appear personally and may
examine under oath the affiant and any witnesses the
affiant may produce, provided that such proceeding
shall be taken down by a court reporter or recording
equipment and made part of the affidavit. The
warrant shall be directed to a civil officer of the

FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 105 45 United States authorized to enforce or assist in enforcing any law thereof or to a person so 46 47 authorized by the President of the United States. It shall command the officer to search, within a 48 specified period of time not to exceed 10 days, the 49 50 person or place named for the property or person 51 specified. The warrant shall be served in the daytime, unless the issuing authority, by appropriate provision 52 53 in the warrant, and for reasonable cause shown, 54 authorized its execution at times other than daytime. 55 It shall designate a federal magistrate judge to whom 56 it shall be returned. (2) Warrant Upon Oral Testimony. 57 58 (A) General Rule. If the circumstances make it 59 reasonable to dispense, in whole or in part, with

a written affidavit, a Federal magistrate judge

61	may issue a warrant based upon sworn
62	testimony communicated by telephone or other
63	appropriate means, including facsimile
64	transmission.
65	(B) Application. The person who is requesting the
66	warrant shall prepare a document to be known
67	as a duplicate original warrant and shall read
68	such duplicate original warrant, verbatim, to the
69	Federal magistrate judge. The Federal
70	magistrate judge shall enter, verbatim, what is
71	so read to such magistrate judge on a document
72	to be known as the original warrant. The
73	Federal magistrate judge may direct that the
74	warrant be modified.
75	(C) Issuance. If the Federal magistrate judge is
76	satisfied that the circumstances are such as to

	make it reasonable to dispense with a written
	affidavit and that grounds for the application
	exist or that there is probable cause to believe
	that they exist, the Federal magistrate judge
	shall order the issuance of a warrant by directing
	the person requesting the warrant to sign the
	Federal magistrate judge's name on the
	duplicate original warrant. The Federal
-	magistrate judge shall immediately sign the
	original warrant and enter on the face of the
	original warrant the exact time when the warrant
	was ordered to be issued. The finding of
	probable cause for a warrant upon oral
	testimony may be based on the same kind of
	evidence as is sufficient for a warrant upon
	affidavit.

(D) Recording and Certification of Testimony.	93
When a caller informs the Federal magistrate	94
judge that the purpose of the call is to request a	95
warrant, the Federal magistrate judge shall	96
immediately place under oath each person	97
whose testimony forms a basis of the application	98
and each person applying for that warrant. If a	99
voice recording device is available, the Federal	100
magistrate judge shall record by means of such	101
device all of the eall after the ealler informs the	102
Federal magistrate judge that the purpose of the	103
eall is to request a warrant. Otherwise a	104
stenographie or longhand verbatim record shall	105
be made. If a voice recording device is used or	106
a stenographic record made, the Federal	107
magistrate judge shall have the record	108

FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 109
09 transcribed, shall certify the accuracy of the
10 transcription, and shall file a copy of the original
11 record and the transcription with the court. If a
12 longhand verbatim record is made, the Federal
13 magistrate judge shall file a signed copy with the
14 court.
15 — (E) Contents. The contents of a warrant upon oral
16 testimony shall be the same as the contents of a
17 warrant upon affidavit.
18 — (F) Additional Rule for Execution. The person who
19 executes the warrant shall enter the exact time
20 of execution on the face of the duplicate original
21 warrant.
22 (G) Motion to Suppress Precluded. Absent a finding
23 of bad faith, evidence obtained pursuant to a
24 warrant issued under this paragraph is not

subject to a motion to suppress on the ground
that the circumstances were not such as to make
it reasonable to dispense with a written affidavit.
(d) Execution and Return with Inventory. The officer
taking property under the warrant shall give to the person
from whom or from whose premises the property was
taken a copy of the warrant and a receipt for the property
taken or shall leave the copy and receipt at the place from
which the property was taken. The return shall be made
promptly and shall be accompanied by a written inventory
of any property taken. The inventory shall be made in the
presence of the applicant for the warrant and the person
from whose possession or premises the property was
taken, if they are present, or in the presence of at least
one eredible person other than the applicant for the
warrant or the person from whose possession or premises

141	the property was taken, and shall be verified by the
142	officer. The federal magistrate judge shall upon request
143	deliver a copy of the inventory to the person from whom
144	or from whose premises the property was taken and to
145	the applicant for the warrant.

an unlawful search and seizure or by the deprivation of property may move the district court for the district in which the property was seized for the return of the property on the ground that such person is entitled to lawful possession of the property. The court shall receive evidence on any issue of fact necessary to the decision of the motion. If the motion is granted, the property shall be returned to the movant, although reasonable conditions may be imposed to protect access and use of the property in subsequent proceedings. If a motion for return of

112	FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE
157	property is made or comes on for hearing in the district of
158	trial after an indictment or information is filed, it shall be
159	treated also as a motion to suppress under Rule-12.
160	(f) Motion to Suppress. A motion to suppress evidence may
161	be made in the court of the district of trial as provided in
162	Rule 12.
163	(g) Return of Papers to Clerk. The federal magistrate judge
164	before whom the warrant is returned shall attach to the
165	warrant a copy of the return, inventory and all other
166	papers in connection therewith and shall file them with
167	the clerk of the district court for the district in which the
168	property was seized.
169	(h) Scope and Definition. This rule does not modify any act,
170	inconsistent with it, regulating search, seizure and the
171	issuance and execution of search warrants in
172	circumstances for which special provision is made. The

	FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 113
173	term "property" is used in this rule to include documents,
174	books, papers and any other tangible objects. The term
175	"daytime" is used in this rule to mean the hours from 6:00
176	a.m. to 10:00 p.m. according to local time. The phrase
177	"federal law enforcement officer" is used in this rule to
178	mean any government agent, other than an attorney for
179	the government as defined in Rule 54(e), who is engaged
180	in the enforcement of the criminal laws and is within any
181	eategory of officers authorized by the Attorney General
182	to request the issuance of a search warrant.
183	Rule 41. Search and Seizure
184	(a) Scope and Definitions.
185	(4) Scope. This rule does not modify any statute
186	regulating search or seizure, or the issuance and
187	execution of a search warrant in special

circumstances.

114	FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE
189	(5) Definitions. The following definitions apply under
190	this rule:
191	(A) "Property" includes documents, books, papers,
192	other tangible objects, and information.
193	(B) "Daytime" means the hours between 6:00 a.m.
194	and 10:00 p.m. according to local time.
195	(C) "Federal law enforcement officer" means a
196	government agent (other than an attorney for
197	the government) who is engaged in the
198	enforcement of the criminal laws and is within
199	any category of officers authorized by the
200	Attorney General to request the issuance of a
201	search warrant.
202	(b) Authority to Issue a Warrant. At the request of a
203	federal law enforcement officer or an attorney for the
204	government:

a magistrate judge having authority in the district —
or if none is reasonably available, a judge of a state
court of record in the district - may issue a warrant
to search for and seize, or covertly observe on a
noncontinuous basis, a person or property located
within the district; and
a magistrate judge may issue a warrant for a person
or property outside the district if the person or
property is located within the district when the
warrant is issued but might move outside the district
before the warrant is executed.
rsons or Property Subject to Search or Seizure. A
urrant may be issued for any of the following:
evidence of the commission of a crime;
contraband, fruits of crime, or other items illegally
possessed;

116	FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE
221	(3) property designed for use, intended for use, or used
222	in committing a crime; or
223	(4) a person to be arrested or a person who is unlawfully
224	restrained.
225	(d) Obtaining a Warrant.
226	(1) Probable Cause. After receiving an affidavit or other
227	information, a magistrate judge or a judge of a state
228	court of record must issue the warrant if there is
229	probable cause to search for and seize, or covertly
230	observe, a person or property under Rule 41(c).
231	(2) Requesting a Warrant in the Presence of a Judge.
232	(A) Warrant on an Affidavit. When a federal law
233	enforcement officer or an attorney for the
234	government presents an affidavit in support of a
235	warrant the judge may require the affiant to

	FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 117
236	appear personally and may examine under oath
237	the affiant and any witness the affiant produces.
238	(B) Warrant on Sworn Testimony. The judge may
239	wholly or partially dispense with a written
240	affidavit and base a warrant on sworn testimony
241	if doing so is reasonable under the
242	circumstances.
243	(C) Recording Testimony. Testimony taken in
244	support of a warrant must be recorded by a
245	court reporter or by a suitable recording device,
246	and the judge must file the transcript or
247	recording with the clerk, along with any
248	affidavit.
249	(3) Requesting a Warrant by Telephonic or Other
250	Means.

251 <u>(A</u>	In General. A magistrate judge may issue a
252	warrant based on information communicated by
253	telephone or other appropriate means, including
254	facsimile transmission.
255 <u>(B</u>)	Recording Testimony. Upon learning that an
256	applicant is requesting a warrant, a magistrate
257	judge must:
258	(i) place under oath the applicant and any
259	person on whose testimony the application
260	is based; and
261	(ii) make a verbatim record of the conversation
262	with a suitable recording device, if
263	available, or by court reporter, or in
264	writing.
265 <u>(C</u>) Certifying Testimony. The magistrate judge
266	must have any recording or court reporter's

	FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 119
267	notes transcribed, certify the transcription's
268	accuracy, and file a copy of the record and the
269	transcription with the clerk. Any written
270	verbatim record must be signed by the
271	magistrate judge and filed with the clerk.
272	(D) Suppression Limited. Absent a finding of bad
273	faith, evidence obtained from a warrant issued
274	under Rule 41(d)(3)(A) is not subject to
275	suppression on the ground that issuing the
276	warrant in that manner was unreasonable under
277	the circumstances.
278	(e) Issuing the Warrant.
279	(1) In General. The magistrate judge or a judge of a
280	state court of record must issue the warrant to an
281	officer authorized to execute it and deliver a copy to
282	the district clerk

283 (2) Contents of the Warrant. The warrant must identify 284 the person or property to be searched or covertly 285 observed, identify any person or property to be seized, and designate the magistrate judge to whom 286 the warrant must be returned. The warrant must 287 288 command the officer to: 289 (A) execute the warrant within a specified time no 290 longer than 10 days; 291 (B) execute the warrant during the daytime, unless 292 the judge for good cause expressly authorizes 293 execution of the warrant at another time; and

FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

120

294

295

296

297

(3) Warrant by Telephonic or Other Means. If a magistrate judge decides to issue a warrant under

designated in the warrant.

(C) return the warrant to the magistrate judge

	FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 121
298	Rule 41(d)(3)(A), the following additional
299	procedures apply:
300	(A) Preparing a Proposed Duplicate Original
301	Warrant. The applicant must prepare a
302	"proposed duplicate original warrant" and must
303	read or otherwise transmit the contents of that
304	document verbatim to the magistrate judge.
305	(B) Preparing an Original Warrant. The
306	magistrate judge must enter the contents of the
307	proposed duplicate original warrant into an
308	original warrant.
309	(C) Modifications. The magistrate judge may direct
310	the applicant to modify the proposed duplicate
311	original warrant. In that case, the judge must
312	also modify the original warrant.

122	FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE
313	(D) Signing the Original Warrant and the Duplicate
314	Original Warrant. Upon determining to issue
315	the warrant, the magistrate judge mus
316	immediately sign the original warrant, enter or
317	its face the exact time when it is issued, and
318	direct the applicant to sign the judge's name on
319	the duplicate original warrant.
320	(f) Executing and Returning the Warrant.
321	(1) Notation of Time. The officer executing the warrant
322	must enter on the face of the warrant the exact date
323	and time it is executed.
324	(2) Inventory. An officer executing the warrant must
325	also prepare and verify an inventory of any property
326	seized and must do so in the presence of:
327	(A) another officer, and

	FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 123
328	(B) the person from whom, or from whose
329	premises, the property was taken, if present; or
330	(C) if either of these persons is not present, at least
331	one other credible person.
332	(3) Receipt. The officer executing the warrant must:
333	(A) give a copy of the warrant and a receipt for the
334	property taken to the person from whom, or
335	from whose premises, the property was taken
336	<u>or</u>
337	(B) leave a copy of the warrant and receipt at the
338	place where the officer took the property.
339	(4) Return. The officer executing the warrant must
340	promptly return it - together with a copy of the
341	inventory — to the magistrate judge designated on
342	the warrant. The judge must, on request, give a copy
343	of the inventory to the person from whom or from

124	FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE
344	whose premises the property was taken and to the
345	applicant for the warrant.
346	(5) Covert Observation of a Person or Property. If the
347	warrant authorizes a covert observation of a person
348	or property, the government must within 7 days
349	deliver a copy to the person who was observed or
350	whose property was observed. Upon the
351	government's motion, the court may on one or more
352	occasions for good cause extend the time to deliver
353	the warrant for a reasonable period.
354	(g) Motion to Return Property. A person aggrieved by an
355	unlawful search and seizure of property or by the
356	deprivation of property may move for the property's
357	return. The motion must be filed in the district where the
358	property was seized. The court must receive evidence on
359	any factual issue necessary to decide the motion. If it

	FE	EDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 125
360		grants the motion, the court must return the property to
361		the movant, but may impose reasonable conditions to
362		protect access to the property and its use in later
363		proceedings.
364	<u>(h)</u>	Motion to Suppress. A defendant may move to suppress
365		evidence in the court where the trial will occur, as
366		Rule 12 provides.
367	(i)	Forwarding Papers to the Clerk. The magistrate judge
368		to whom the warrant is returned must attach to the
369		warrant a copy of the return, inventory, and all other
370		related papers and must deliver them to the clerk in the
371		district where the property was seized.

COMMITTEE NOTE

The language of Rule 41 has been amended as part of the general restyling of the Criminal Rules to make them more easily understood and to make style and terminology consistent throughout the rules. These changes are intended to be stylistic only, except as noted below.

Rule 41 has been completely reorganized to make it easier to read and apply its key provisions. Additionally, several substantive changes have been made.

First, revised Rule 41 now explicitly includes procedural guidance for conducting covert entries and observations. Federal law enforcement officers have obtained warrants, based upon probable cause, to make a covert search — not for the purpose of seizing property but instead to observe and record information. Those observations may assist officers in confirming information already in the possession of law enforcement officials and in turn may assist in deciding whether, and by what means, to pursue further investigation. For example, agents may seek a warrant to enter the office of suspected conspirators to determine the layout of the office for purposes of seeking additional warrants to establish surveillance points or to determine the number and identity of the participants.

Currently, Rule 41(a) recognizes the possibility that a search may occur of property without any subsequent seizure taking place. But the remainder of the rule addresses only traditional searches where the objective is the seizure of tangible property. Nonetheless, the courts have approved the authority of law enforcement agencies to search for and seize intangible evidence or information. See, e.g., Silverman v. United States, 365 U.S. 505 (1961) (conversations overheard by microphone touching heating duct); Berger v. New York, 388 U.S. 41 (1967) (wiretap of conversations); United States v. Knotts, 460 U.S. 276 (1983) (beeper); United States v. Karo, 468 U.S. 705 (1984) (beeper); United States v. Biasucci, 786 F.2d 504 (2d Cir.), cert. denied, 479 U.S. 827 (1986) (visual information gathered by video camera); United States v. Torres, 751 F.2d 875 (7th Cir. 1984) (television surveillance of safe house); United States v. Taborda, 635

F.2d 131 (2d Cir. 1980) (warrant required to view private area through telescope).

Although the foregoing cases involved Fourth Amendment intrusions because they involved monitoring activities within the defendant's zone of reasonable expectation of privacy, they did not explicitly address the authority of agents to make covert entries. There is authority for the view, however, that both the Constitution and Rule 41 are broad enough to authorize a "surreptitious entry" warrant - for the purpose of observing tangible and intangible evidence. United States v. Villegas, 899 F.2d 1334, 1336 (2d Cir. 1990), citing Dalia v. United States, 441 U.S. 238 (1979) and Katz v. United States, 389 U.S. 347 (1967); United States v. Freitas, 800 F.2d 1451 (9th Cir. 1986), citing United States v. New York Telephone Co., 434 U.S. 159, 169 (1977) (Rule 41 is not limited to tangible items). See also United States v. Freitas, 856 F.2d 1425 (9th Cir. 1988) (on remand, court held that good faith exception to exclusionary rule applied; officers had reasonably relied on search warrant, based on probable cause, to surreptitiously search for information; failure to provide notice under Rule 41(d) was technical error). See also United States v. Villegas, supra, 899 F.2d at 1334-35 (2d Cir. 1990) (approving search warrant for "sneak and peek" entry of defendant's buildings; court noted that Rule 41 does not define the extent of court's power to issue search warrant). In some respects, the covert entry search for a noncontinous observation is less intrusive than other types of conventional intrusions. As the court in United States v. Villegas, supra, at 1337 observed:

[A covert entry search] is less intrusive than a conventional search with physical seizure because the latter deprives the owner not only of privacy but also of the use of his property. It is less

intrusive than a wiretap or video camera surveillance because the [covert entry] physical search is of relatively short duration,...and produces information as of a given moment, whereas the electronic surveillance is ongoing and indiscriminate, gathering in any activities within its mechanical focus. Thus, several of the limitations on wiretap or electronic surveillance, such as duration and minimization, would be superfluous in the context [of a covert entry search].

The Committee agrees that Rule 41 does not define the limits of the Fourth Amendment, and is cognizant that the Supreme Court has upheld the validity of covert entries with delayed notification, see, e.g., Dalia v. United States, 441 U.S. 238, 247-248 (1979) ("The Fourth Amendment does not prohibit per se covert entry performed for the purposes of installing otherwise legal electronic bugging equipment"); United States v. Donovan, 429 U.S. 428, 429 n. 19 (1977). The Committee also considered the argument that it would be premature to amend Rule 41 in order to codify the views of only two circuits that have expressly addressed the type of covert search addressed in the amendment, and that it would be better to await further caselaw developments. Nonetheless, the Committee believed that on balance, it would be beneficial to address the procedures (in particular the notice provisions) for covert entry searches in the Rule itself. Accordingly, revised Rule 41(b) recognizes the authority of officers to seek a warrant for the purpose of covertly observing — on a noncontinous basis — a person or property. These types of intrusions are to be distinguished from other continuous monitoring or observations that would be governed by statutory provisions or caselaw. See Title III, Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, as amended by Title I of the 1968 Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2520; United States

v. Biasucci, supra (use of video camera); United States v. Torres, supra (television surveillance).

Under revised Rule 41(e)(2), the warrant must describe the person or property to be covertly observed.

Revised Rule 41(f)(5) explicitly requires that if a covert entry search warrant has been issued, the government must provide notice to the person whose property was searched within 7 days of the execution. The time for providing notice may be extended for good cause for a reasonable time, on one or more occasions. This notice requirement parallels the notice requirement for the traditional search but makes allowance for the fact that the functions of covert entry searches would be frustrated by prior or contemporaneous notice of the entry. See, e.g., United States v. Villegas, supra; United States v. Freitas, supra.

The second substantive change is in revised Rule 41(b)(1). That provision requires law enforcement personnel to first attempt to obtain a warrant from a federal judicial officer. If none is reasonably available, they may seek a warrant from a state judge. This preference parallels similar requirements in Rules 3, 4, and Rule 5. The Committee understands that this change may have a dramatic impact in some districts, which experience a heavy criminal caseload and rely routinely on state judges for assistance. That practice seems to be the exception rather than the general rule, however. On balance, it is important to state a clear preference that in the normal situation federal judicial authorities should be involved in pretrial processing of federal prosecutions. The amendment is not intended to create any new ground for contesting the validity of a search warrant or seeking

to suppress evidence on the ground that it was issued by the "wrong" judge.

Current Rule 41(c)(1), which refers to the fact that hearsay evidence may be used to support probable cause, has been deleted. That language was added to the rule in 1972, apparently to reflect emerging federal case law. See Advisory Committee Note to 1972 Amendments to Rule 41 (citing cases). Similar language was added to Rule 4 in 1974 and was included in the promulgation of Rule 5.1 in 1972. In the intervening years, however, the case law has become perfectly clear on that proposition. Thus, the Committee believed that the reference to hearsay was no longer necessary. Furthermore, the limited reference to hearsay evidence was misleading to the extent that it might have suggested that other forms of inadmissible evidence could not be considered. For example, the rule made no reference to considering a defendant's prior criminal record, which clearly may be considered in deciding whether probable cause exists. See, e.g., Brinegar v. United States, 338 U.S. 160 (1949) (officer's knowledge of defendant's prior criminal activity). Rather than address that issue, or any other similar issues, the Committee believed that the matter was best addressed in Rule 1101(d)(3), Federal Rules of Evidence. That rule explicitly provides that the Federal Rules of Evidence do not apply to "preliminary examinations in criminal cases, ... issuance of warrants for arrest, criminal summonses, and search warrants." The Advisory Committee Note accompanying that rule recognizes that: "The nature of the proceedings makes application of the formal rules of evidence inappropriate and impracticable." The Committee did not intend to make any substantive changes in practice by deleting the reference to hearsay evidence.

Finally, two minor changes have been made to Rule 41(e), which governs the procedures for issuing warrants under the rule. First, Rule 41(e)(1) requires that after issuing a warrant, the magistrate judge or state judicial officer must deliver a copy of the warrant to the district clerk. Further, under Rule 41(e)(3), the warrant must designate the magistrate judge to whom the warrant must be returned. The Committee believed that these changes would provide for more efficient processing of warrants, particularly in those instances where a state court judge has issued the warrant.

REPORTER'S NOTES

In publishing the "style" changes to the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the Committee decided to publish separately any rule that includes what it considered at least one major substantive change. The purpose for this separate publication is to highlight for the bench and the bar any proposed amendments that the Committee believes will result in significant changes in current practice. Rule 41 is one of those rules. This version of Rule 41 includes a significant amendment concerning the authority of a court to approve search warrants for covert entries for the purpose of making observations. Another version of Rule 41, which does not include this provision, is being published simultaneously in a separate pamphlet.

1 Rule 43. Presence of the Defendant

- 2 (a) Presence Required. The defendant shall be present at
- 3 the arraignment, at the time of the plea, at every stage of
- 4 the trial including the impancling of the jury and the

132	FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE
5	return of the verdict, and at the imposition of sentence,
6	except as otherwise provided by this rule.
7	(b) Continued Presence Not Required. The further
8	progress of the trial to and including the return of the
9	verdiet, and the imposition of sentence, will not be
10	prevented and the defendant will be considered to have
11	waived the right to be present whenever a defendant,
12	initially present at trial, or having pleaded guilty or nolo
13	contendere,
14	(1) is voluntarily absent after the trial has commenced
15	(whether or not the defendant has been informed by
16	the court of the obligation to remain during the trial),
17	(2) in a noncapital case, is voluntarily absent at the
8	imposition of sentence, or
9	(3) after being warned by the court that disruptive
20	conduct will cause the removal of the defendant from

	FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 133
21	the courtroom, persists in conduct which is such as
22	to justify exclusion from the courtroom.
23	(c) Presence Not Required. A defendant need not be
24	present: -
25	— (1) when represented by counsel and the defendant is ar
26	organization, as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 18;
27	- (2) when the offense is punishable by fine or by
28	imprisonment for not more than one year or both,
29	and the court, with the written consent of the
30	defendant, permits arraignment, plea, trial, and
31	imposition of sentence in the defendant's absence;
32	(3) when the proceeding involves only a conference or
33	hearing upon a question of law; or
34	— (4) when the proceeding involves a reduction or
35	correction of sentence under Rule 35(b) or (c) or 18
36	U.S.C. § 3582(e).

134	FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE
37	Rule 43. Defendant's Presence
38	(a) When Required. Unless this rule, Rule 5, or Rule 10
39	provides otherwise, the defendant must be present at:
40	(1) the initial appearance, initial arraignment, and pleas
41	(2) every trial stage, including jury impanelment and the
42	return of the verdict; and
43	(3) sentencing.
44	(b) When Not Required. A defendant need not be present
45	under any of the following circumstances:
46	(1) Organizational Defendant. The defendant is an
47	organization represented by counsel who is present.
18	(2) Misdemeanor Offense. The offense is punishable by
19	fine or by imprisonment for not more than one year,
50	or both, and with the defendant's written consent,
51	the court permits arraignment, plea, trial, and
52	sentencing to occur in the defendant's absence.

	FEDERAL RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 135
53	(3) Conference or Hearing on a Legal Question. The
54	proceeding involves only a conference or hearing on
55	a question of law.
56	(4) Sentence Correction. The proceeding involves the
57	correction or reduction of sentence under Rule 35
58	or 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c).
59	(c) Waiving Continued Presence.
60	(1) In General. A defendant who was initially present at
61	trial, or who had pleaded guilty or nolo contendere,
62	waives the right to be present under the following
63	circumstances:
54	(A) when the defendant is voluntarily absent after
65	the trial has begun, regardless of whether the
66	court informed the defendant of an obligation to

68	(B) in a noncapital case, when the defendant is
69	voluntarily absent during sentencing; or
70	(C) when the court warns the defendant that it will
71	remove the defendant from the courtroom for
72	disruptive behavior, but the defendant persists in
73	conduct that justifies removal from the
74	courtroom.
75	(2) Waiver's Effect. If the defendant waives the right to
76	be present under this rule, the trial may proceed to
77	completion, including the verdict's return and
78	sentencing, during the defendant's absence.

COMMITTEE NOTE

The language of Rule 43 has been amended as part of the general restyling of the Criminal Rules to make them more easily understood and to make style and terminology consistent throughout the rules. These changes are intended to be stylistic only, except as noted below.

The first substantive change is reflected in Rule 43(a), which recognizes several exceptions to the requirement that a defendant

must be present in court for all proceedings. In addition to referring to exceptions that might exist in Rule 43 itself, the amendment recognizes that a defendant need not be present when the court has permitted video teleconferencing procedures under Rules 5 and 10 or when the defendant has waived the right to be present for the arraignment under Rule 10. Second, by inserting the word "initial" before "arraignment, " revised Rule 43(a)(1) reflects the view that a defendant need not be present for subsequent arraignments based upon a superseding indictment.

The Rule has been reorganized to make it easier to read and apply; revised Rule 43(b) is former Rule 43(c).

REPORTER'S NOTES

In publishing the "style" changes to the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the Committee decided to publish separately any rule that includes what it considered at least one major substantive change. The purpose for this separate publication is to highlight for the bench and the bar any proposed amendments that the Committee believes will result in significant changes in current practice. Rule 43 is one of those rules. This version of Rule 43 recognizes substantive amendments to Rules 5, 5.1. and 10, which in turn permit video teleconferencing of proceedings, where the defendant would not be personally present in the courtroom. Another version of Rule 43, which includes only style changes is being published simultaneously in a separate pamphlet.